

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF GREENVILLE

Barclays Mortgage Trust 2022-RPL1, Mortgage-Backed Securities, Series 2022-RPL1, by U.S. Bank National Association, as Indenture Trustee,

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

Ana Cardona a/k/a Ana De La Paz; Rafael De La Paz a/k/a Rafael Delapaz a/k/a Rafael Z. De La Paz; South Carolina Department of Revenue; South Carolina Department of Employment and Workforce; and The United States of America by and through its agency the Department of Treasury - Internal Revenue Service,

DEFENDANT(S).

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

CASE NO. 2023-CP-23-04099

MASTER IN EQUITY'S ORDER AND JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE AND SALE

DEFICIENCY WAIVED

(231150.00022)

TO: Scott and Corley, P.A.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
2712 Middleburg Drive, Suite 200
Columbia, SC 29204
(803) 252-3340

Rafael De La Paz a/k/a Rafael Delapaz a/k/a Rafael Z. De La Paz
8 Overton Avenue
Greenville, SC 29617

Ana Cardona a/k/a Ana De La Paz
8 Overton Avenue
Greenville, SC 29617

Kiera C. Dillon, Esq.
300A Outlet Pointe Boulevard
Columbia, SC 29210
Attorney for South Carolina Department of Revenue

Robert M. Sneed, Esq.
55 Beattie Place, Suite 700
Greenville, SC 29601
Attorney for The United States of America by and through its agency the Department of Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Valerie McMellan, Esq.
PO Box 8597
Columbia, SC 29202
Attorney for South Carolina Department of Employment and Workforce

Pursuant to Circuit Court Rule 53(b) of the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure, the above-entitled matter was referred to the undersigned to make appropriate findings of facts and conclusions of law with authority to enter a final judgment in the cause.

Pursuant to the said Order of Reference a hearing was held by this Court on November 2, 2023. At the hearing, evidence was presented and from the documents and records received into evidence by this Court, I find, conclude and order as follows:

FINDINGS

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and the parties hereto and it is the proper forum for the adjudication of this matter.
2. The Court has determined that Plaintiff has complied with the Administrative Order of the South Carolina Supreme Court dated May 17, 2023 (2023-05-17-01).
3. The Lis Pendens, Summons, and Complaint (and any amendments thereto or joinders thereto) as well as service affidavits for all defendants have been filed with the Clerk of Court for this county.
4. Ana Cardona a/k/a Ana De La Paz and Rafael De La Paz a/k/a Rafael Delapaz a/k/a Rafael Z. De La Paz /are in default as shown by the Certificate filed herein.
5. All of the above-named Defendants and/or all attorneys of record were notified of the time, date, and place of the hearing of this matter. In order to comply with the Lis Pendens statute (S.C. Code Section 15-11-10, et. seq.) and case law, and to also clear or adjudicate any claims or interests in the real estate and improvements thereon, Defendant(s) named herein are also made a necessary party due to similarity in names of parties of public record, or pursuant to the laws and statutes of Descent and Distribution or other statutory or regulatory requirements.
6. According to the affidavit(s) and certifications filed herein, any Defendant who is in default has been reviewed for his/her eligibility under The Servicemembers' Civil Relief Act of 2003 ("SCRA") and any amendments thereto and this review does not indicate any Defendant is eligible for protections.
7. No Defendant raised any credible issues related to Plaintiff's standing to prosecute this action, and Plaintiff is the real party in interest as contemplated by Rule 17(a), SCRCP. The

Court finds that any issues related to Plaintiff's standing or ability to prosecute this action are waived. Unless specifically denied by an answering Defendant, all allegations in the Complaint of Plaintiff are deemed admitted in full.

8. For value received, Rafael Delapaz and Ana Cardona made, executed and delivered a Note dated May 12, 1999, promising thereby to pay to the order of Washtenaw Mortgage Company the sum of \$128,250.00 with interest at the rate of 7.00% per annum. Other terms and conditions are stated in the Note, which is of record herein.

9. To better secure the payment of the Note described above, the said Rafael De La Paz and Ana Cardona, made, executed and delivered to Washtenaw Mortgage Company a Mortgage in writing, dated May 12, 1999, covering real property in Greenville County, which is the same as that described in the Complaint or Amended Complaint. The Mortgage was filed on May 12, 1999, and is of record in the Office of the Clerk of Court/Register of Deeds for Greenville County in Book 3248, at Page 703. The Mortgage evidences and secures the repayment of money advanced by the mortgagee to, or on behalf of, the mortgagor(s).

10. Thereafter, said Mortgage was assigned to Crown Bank by assignment instrument dated May 27, 2003 and recorded July 9, 2003 in Book 3970 at Page 1997; thereafter, assigned to Everbank by assignment instrument dated April 14, 2007 and recorded April 30, 2007 in Book 4768 at Page 452; thereafter, assigned to Green Tree Servicing LLC by assignment instrument dated June 25, 2014 and recorded July 18, 2014 in Book 5262 at Page 8; thereafter, assigned to New Residential Mortgage LLC by assignment instrument dated February 20, 2020 and recorded February 21, 2020 in Book 5521 at Page 810; thereafter, assigned to NewRez LLC d/b/a Shellpoint Mortgage Servicing by assignment instrument dated February 23, 2021 and recorded March 1, 2021 in Book 5600 at Page 2124. thereafter, assigned to Barclays Mortgage Trust 2022-RPL1, Mortgage-Backed Securities, Series 2022-RPL1, by U.S. Bank National Association, as Indenture Trustee by assignment instrument dated February 3, 2023 and recorded February 3, 2023 in Book 5723 at Page 3383.

11. The Note and Mortgage were subsequently modified by a Loan Modification Agreement dated July 8, 2022 and recorded on July 20, 2022 in Book 5701 at Page 2039.

12. Pursuant to the South Carolina Lis Pendens Statute (S.C. Code Section 15-11-10, et. seq.) Counsel for Plaintiff has accomplished a Report on Title whereby Counsel for Plaintiff has, upon information and belief, named all parties who claim or may claim an interest in the subject property. See, Rule 71(a), SCRCP; S.C. Code Section 15-11-20; South Carolina National Bank v. Cook, 291 S.C. 530, 354 S.E.2d 562 (1987); Horry County v. Ray, 382 S.C. 76, 674

S.E.2d 519 (Ct. App. 2009). This Court further finds that this Report on Title is a recoverable charge, expense, or cost as provided for in the Mortgage and/or Note contracts and the amount is found to be reasonable.

13. Per a search of the real estate and other public records for Greenville County, the titleholder of record at the time of the filing of the Lis Pendens in this matter was Rafael Delapaz and Ana Cordona.

14. This Court therefore finds that this Mortgage constitutes a purchase money first lien on the mortgaged premises.

15. The Court finds that Plaintiff and its counsel have fully complied with all of their obligation(s) as required under the specific terms of the Note and Mortgage being foreclosed as well as all applicable Federal or State statutes or regulations, including, but not limited to, the furnishing of any notices, where applicable (e.g. post referral loss mitigation solicitation letter and/or acceleration warning letter, if or where applicable);and moreover the Court further finds said full compliance by Plaintiff and its counsel additionally includes all their statutory, common law and regulatory duties and obligations under the laws and rules of the Dodd-Frank Act, the Federal Trade Commission, and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau. Moreover and prior to the filing of this judicial proceeding, the Defendant(s) had not raised any compliance defenses or objections as to the servicing of any applicable banking or consumer laws by Plaintiff and/or its counsel.

16. According to the records of Plaintiff and its counsel, neither Plaintiff nor its counsel is aware of any party to this action currently being on active duty or recently discharged. The Notice of Hearing issued by Counsel for Plaintiff specifically requests any defendant eligible under the SCRA contact Plaintiff's counsel. The Court finds that Counsel for the Plaintiff shall be entitled to recover its charges from the Plaintiff for this Certification/Report to the Court as part of its professional duties in prosecuting this action.

17. According to the records of Plaintiff and its counsel, neither Plaintiff nor its counsel is aware of any party to this action currently being under the protection of the United States Bankruptcy Court. Any demand for a deficiency is not applicable if a party has received a discharge in bankruptcy during the life of the mortgage, or is currently under the active protection of the bankruptcy courts. Moreover, any party to this action who is a discharged borrower to the debt of the Plaintiff shall not be subject to and is specifically excluded from both the calculation and collection of any amounts due and owing to the Plaintiff, as required by Rule 71(a) of the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure. The Court finds that Counsel for Plaintiff shall be entitled

to recover its charges from Plaintiff for this Certification/Report to the Court as part of its professional duties in prosecuting this action and the Court finds such charges to be reasonable.

18. Payment due on the Note has not been made as provided for in the Note and Plaintiff has elected to require immediate payment of the entire amount due thereon and has placed the Note and Mortgage in the hands of the attorney herein for collection.

19. With respect to attorney fees and in view of the potential financial liabilities and likely continuing professional obligations inherent in judicially prosecuting a real property mortgage credit matter, the attendant professional duties and responsibilities, and the size of the mortgage debt and consistent with similar case proceedings before this Honorable Court, I find that a reasonable attorney's fee in this matter would be \$3,200.00. This award is consistent with the laws of this state in the awarding of attorney fees. I have considered the six (6) factors (none of these factors is controlling in the singular) as follows: (1) the nature, extent and difficulty of the legal service rendered; (2) the time and labor necessarily devoted to the case; (3) the professional standing of counsel; (4) the contingency of compensation; (5) the fee customarily charged in the locality for similar legal services; and (6) the beneficial results obtained. *Taylor v. Medenica*, 331 S.C. 575, 503 S.E.2d 458 (1998); *Baron Data Systems v. Loter*, 297 S.C. 382, 377 S.E.2d 296 (S.C. 1989), *Jackson v. Speed*, 326 S.C. 289, 486 S.E.2d 750 (1997). Pursuant to the language in the Note and Mortgage, this Court has specifically reviewed and satisfied itself with all six (6) factors, as well as the disclosed client billing by Plaintiff's counsel considered in awarding reasonable attorneys' fees and costs/expenses/charges in this matter as set forth herein in this paragraph as well as Paragraph 21. As itemized below, I find all of the itemized fees and costs/expenses/charges to be reasonable.

20. Although I have heretofore given consideration to all six (6) factors in the awarding of attorney fees and costs herein, jurisdiction over the fee award shall be reserved as granted in the Order of Reference with the right to re-visit the question of attorney fees should the action proceed in an unexpected way and/or to facilitate the assessment and payment of any such current or additional professional compensation.

21. The amount due and owing on the Note, with interest at the rate provided in the Note, including attorney's fee and allowable costs and charges allowable under and secured by the Note and Mortgage, is as follows:

(a) Principal due	\$111,548.24
(b) Interest Due from 11/01/2022 to 11/02/2023	\$4,334.50

(c) Pre-Acceleration Late Charges	\$108.00
(d) Escrow Adjustments	\$2,995.24
(e) Prior Servicer Corporate Advances	\$620.00
(f) Prior Servicer Late Charges	\$18.00
(g) Property Inspections	\$45.00
(h) Interest on Escrow Advances	\$101.50
(i) Costs, charges, or expenses of collection prior to hearing	\$1,438.15
(j) Attorney's fees and charges (Foreclosure & applicable Bankruptcy)	\$3,200.00
TOTAL DEBT secured by Note and Mortgage including interest to date shown	\$124,408.63

Interest shall continue to accrue on the unpaid principal balance shown in (a) above, at the rate of 3.875% from the date of hearing until entry of judgment, and such interest shall be added to the above stated "Total Debt": to comprise the amount of the judgment debt entered herein. Interest after the date of entry of judgment at the rate of 3.875% per annum (pursuant to the terms of the Note and Mortgage) shall accrue on the judgment debt and shall be added to such judgment debt to comprise the amount of Plaintiff's debt secured by the Mortgage through the date of compliance with the terms of judicial sale. (Items (d) through (j) are subject to supplemental revision by Plaintiff's counsel and/or modification by the Court, with such items including but not limited to post-judgment escrow and corporate advances, and costs related to the publication and sale of the subject property.)

22. Based upon a search of the public records of the aforesaid county pursuant to the South Carolina Lis Pendens statute, all persons or entities having an interest or lien or possible claim in or upon the mortgaged premises subordinate to the lien of Plaintiff as of the date and time of the filing of the Lis Pendens herein have been made defendants.

23. Plaintiff is seeking the usual foreclosure of mortgage and has in the Complaint, or by amendment thereto, or by motion at the reference, expressly waived the right to a personal or deficiency judgment.

24. The Defendants below-named claim or may claim a subordinate lien or junior interest upon or interest in the subject property, including but not limited to the subordinate lien or junior interests specifically described below, and in the event there is a surplus from the sale of the subject property, the validity, priority and amount of any such lien claim will be determined at a hearing subsequent to the sale, in accordance with the Circuit Court Rules 53 and/or 71. The subject Defendant(s) is/are further made a party due to the similarity in name(s) to the primary defendants against whom they claim or may claim a lien and in order to clear title to this property as follows:

(a) The Defendant, South Carolina Department of Employment and Workforce, by virtue of the following:

(i) A lien against Rafael Delapaz dated April 29, 2015, in the original amount of \$3,671.91, and recorded on April 29, 2015, as Lien Number 230876, in the Office of the Greenville Clerk of Court/Register of Deeds.

(ii) A lien against Rafael Delapaz dated November 4, 2021, in the original amount of \$2,238.00, and recorded on November 4, 2021, as Lien Number 606825, in the Office of the Greenville Clerk of Court/Register of Deeds.

(iii) A lien against Rafael Delapaz dated May 6, 2022, in the original amount of \$189.58, and recorded on May 6, 2022, as Lien Number 737757, in the Office of the Greenville Clerk of Court/Register of Deeds.

(iv) A lien against Rafael Delapaz dated December 6, 2022, in the original amount of \$217.82, and recorded on December 6, 2022, as Lien Number 907957, in the Office of the Greenville Clerk of Court/Register of Deeds in Book.

(b) The Defendant, The United States of America by and through its agency the Department of Treasury - Internal Revenue Service, by virtue of the following:

(i) A tax lien against Rafael Z. De La Paz dated August 17, 2015, in the original amount of \$3,590.81, and recorded on August 7, 2015, as Serial Number 172019815, in the Office of the Greenville Clerk of Court/Register of Deeds in Lien Book 106, at Page 634.

(ii) A tax lien against Rafael Z. De La Paz dated October 5, 2015, in the original amount of \$15,047.77, and recorded on October 14, 2015, as Serial Number 180031915, in the Office of the Greenville Clerk of Court/Register of Deeds in Lien Book 107, at Page 1262.

(iii) A tax lien against Rafael Delapaz (La Finca) dated February 12, 2015, in

the original amount of \$17,557.42, and recorded on February 23, 2015, as Serial Number 144159215, in the Office of the Greenville Clerk of Court/Register of Deeds in Lien Book 98, at Page 984.

(iv) A tax lien against Rafael Delapaz dated March 11, 2015, in the original amount of \$6,218.04, and recorded on March 24, 2015, as Serial Number 147432815, in the Office of the Greenville Clerk of Court/Register of Deeds in Lien Book 99, at Page 483.

(v) A tax lien against Rafael Delapaz dated March 17, 2015, in the original amount of \$10,504.02, and recorded on March 26, 2015, as Serial Number 148264315, in the Office of the Greenville Clerk of Court/Register of Deeds in Lien Book 99, at Page 772.

(vi) A tax lien against Rafael Delapaz (La Finca) dated May 19, 2015, in the original amount of \$5,051.21, and recorded on May 27, 2015, as Serial Number 157995515, in the Office of the Greenville Clerk of Court/Register of Deeds in Lien Book 102, at Page 576.

(vii) A tax lien against Rafael Delapaz (La Finca) dated May 14, 2015, in the original amount of \$3,711.70, and recorded on May 27, 2015, as Serial Number 157409415, in the Office of the Greenville Clerk of Court/Register of Deeds in Lien Book 102, at Page 585.

(viii) A tax lien against Rafael Delapaz (La Finca) dated May 27, 2015, in the original amount of \$784.28, and recorded on June 8, 2015, as Serial Number 159102115, in the Office of the Greenville Clerk of Court/Register of Deeds in Lien Book 102, at Page 1344.

(ix) A tax lien against Rafael Delapaz (La Finca) dated June 2, 2015, in the original amount of \$13,080.28, and recorded on June 8, 2015, as Serial Number 160007115, in the Office of the Greenville Clerk of Court/Register of Deeds in Lien Book 102, at Page 1373.

(x) A tax lien against Rafael Delapaz (La Finca) dated June 9, 2015, in the original amount of \$7,121.37, and recorded on June 17, 2015, as Serial Number 161233115, in the Office of the Greenville Clerk of Court/Register of Deeds in Lien Book 102, at Page 1743.

(xi) A tax lien against Rafael Delapaz (La Finca) dated June 16, 2015, in the original amount of \$9,890.57, and recorded on June 24, 2015, as Serial Number 162327715, in the Office of the Greenville Clerk of Court/Register of Deeds in Lien Book

102, at Page 1879.

(xii) A tax lien against Rafael Delapaz (La Finca) dated October 23, 2015, in the original amount of \$5,281.05, and recorded on November 3, 2015, as Serial Number 182920915, in the Office of the Greenville Clerk of Court/Register of Deeds in Lien Book 108, at Page 1033.

(c) The Defendant, South Carolina Department of Revenue, by virtue of the following:

(i) A tax lien against Rafael De La Paz (d/b/a La Finca), in the amount of \$905.45, filed on June 10, 2021, as Lien Number 817207.

(ii) A tax lien against Rafael De La Paz (d/b/a La Finca), in the amount of \$909.40, filed on May 11, 2021, as Lien Number 823611.

(iii) A tax lien against Rafael De La Paz (d/b/a La Finca), in the amount of \$901.63, filed on July 9, 2021, as Lien Number 857760.

(iv) A tax lien against Rafael De La Paz (d/b/a La Finca Mexican Restaurant, LLC), in the amount of \$39,493.33, filed on August 8, 2022, as Lien Number 965391.

25. Pursuant to Section 2410(c), Title 28, United States Code, this property will be sold subject to the applicable right of redemption of the United States of America.

26. None of the named Defendant(s) has/have established any claims or defenses, legal or equitable, for relief against Plaintiff, and therefore, no relief, be it legal or equitable, shall be granted to any of the named Defendant(s). In addition, all persons and/or entities who may be or may have been entitled to claim through or under the title or interest of the named Defendant(s) in the subject property shall likewise be absolutely barred and forever foreclosed of any rights, titles, or interests.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I, therefore, conclude as follows:

1. Plaintiff has met the requirements of the Administrative Order of the South Carolina Supreme Court (2023-05-17-01) dated May 17, 2023.

2. Plaintiff should have judgment of foreclosure of the Mortgage and the mortgaged property should be ordered sold at public auction after due advertisement.

3. All of the named Defendant(s) has/have not established any claims or defenses, legal or equitable, for relief against Plaintiff, and therefore, no relief, be it legal or equitable, shall be granted to any of the named Defendant(s). In addition, all persons who may be entitled to claim through or under the title or interest of the named Defendant(s) in the subject property shall

likewise be absolutely barred and forever foreclosed. In order to comply with the Lis Pendens statute (S.C. Code Section 15-11-10, et. seq.) and case law, and to also clear or adjudicate any claims or interests in the real estate and improvements thereon, Defendant(s) named herein are also made a necessary party due to similarity in names of parties of public record, or pursuant to the laws and statutes of Descent and Distribution or other statutory or regulatory requirements.

Now, on motion or notice of Plaintiff's attorney,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

1. Plaintiff has met the requirements of the Administrative Order of the South Carolina Supreme Court dated May 17, 2023.

2. That there is due to Plaintiff on the obligation and mortgage as set forth in the Complaint or Amended Complaint the sum of \$124,408.63, representing the total debt due Plaintiff as set forth supra, together with interest at the rate provided therein on the balance of principal from the date aforesaid to the date hereof.

3. The amount due in the preceding paragraph (the "total debt" as set forth in Paragraph hereinabove, and later accrued interest on the principal) shall constitute the total judgment debt due the Plaintiff (may be supplemented as permitted by the Court) and shall bear interest hereafter at the rate of 3.875 percent per annum.

4. That on default of payment at or before the time herein indicated, the mortgaged premises described in the Complaint or Amended Complaint, as hereinafter set forth, be sold by this Court at public auction, after giving Notice of the time and place of such sale by advertisement according to law. Any sales date is tentative and may be rescheduled at any time prior to the sale without further order of this Court, provided notice of the new sales date is duly advertised as required by law. The sale shall be according to the following terms, that is to say:

(a) CERTIFIED FUNDS: The Master in Equity will require a deposit of 5% on the amount of the bid (in certified funds or equivalent, said 5% deposit being due and payable immediately upon the closing of the bidding, same to be applied to the purchase price only upon compliance with the bid, but in case of non-compliance within 20 days same to be forfeited and applied to the costs and Plaintiff's debt.

(b) Interest on the balance of the bid shall be paid to the day of compliance at the rate of 3.875 percent.

(c) The sale shall be subject to taxes and assessments, existing easements and restrictions of record and prior liens of record.

(d) This Mortgage constitutes a purchase money first lien covering the real

estate and improvements therein described.

(e) Purchaser to pay for deed stamps/transfer taxes and costs of recording the satisfaction of mortgage by foreclosure and the deed, with Plaintiff to pay the Statutory allowed fee to the preparer of said deed (be it this Court or Counsel for Plaintiff).

(f) The sale is subject to any named Federal Agency who may have any Right of Redemption pursuant to the applicable statute and/or common law.

5. If Plaintiff be the successful bidder at said sale, for a sum not exceeding the amount of costs, expenses and the indebtedness of Plaintiff in full, Plaintiff may pay to the Court only the amount of the outstanding costs and expenses crediting the balance of the bid on Plaintiff's indebtedness.

6. No personal or deficiency judgment being demanded, the bidding will not remain open after the date of sale, but compliance with the bid may be made immediately.

7. This Court will by advertisement according to law, give notice of the time and place of such sale, and the terms thereof. Any sales date is tentative and may be rescheduled at any time prior to the sale without further order of this court, provided notice of the new sales date is duly advertised as required by law. This Court will execute to the purchaser, or purchasers, a deed to the premises sold. Plaintiff, or any other party to this action may become a purchaser at such sale, and that if, upon such sale being made, the purchaser, or purchasers, should fail to comply with the terms thereof within 20 days after the date of sale, then this Court may advertise the said premises for sale on the next, or some other subsequent sales day, at the risk of the highest bidder, and so from time to time thereafter until a full compliance shall be secured. In the event Plaintiff is the successful bidder, at its option, or the option of its assignee, the deed may be taken subject to payment by grantee of any taxes or assessments constituting a lien against the property sold under this order and hereinafter more fully described. All bidders are hereby and herein noticed that in the event the successful bidder is a third party, neither Plaintiff nor Plaintiff's counsel make any warranties or representations of any kind as to the subject property, including but not limited to its title or habitability on behalf of the third party bidder or any subsequent purchasers.

8. That, in addition to all notices to the property owner(s) which are required by the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure or other law, in a case involving property owner's SCRCF Rule 55 default, or in any other case or circumstance where property owner(s) would not ordinarily receive a copy of the Order of Foreclosure and/or the Notice of Sale, the party seeking foreclosure (Foreclosing Party) shall, within five (5) days of the execution of this Order cause this Order and the Notice of Sale (if available) to be served by US Mail upon said property owner(s). A Certificate

of Service, pursuant to Rule 5, SCRPC, shall be with the Clerk of Court expeditiously. In cases where the Notice of Sale is executed later in time than the Order, service shall be accomplished separately, and shall be sent no later than five (5) days from receipt by the Foreclosing Party.

9. The sale will not be held unless either Plaintiff's attorney or Plaintiff's bidding agent is present at the sale and either Plaintiff's attorney or Plaintiff's bidding agent enters the authorized bid of Plaintiff for this individually captioned matter. In the alternative, Plaintiff's counsel, if permitted by the Court, may advise this Court directly of its authorized bidding instructions. In the event a sale is inadvertently held without Plaintiff's Counsel or Counsel's bidding agent entering the authorized bid of Plaintiff for this specifically captioned matter, the sale shall be null and void and the property shall be re-advertised for sale on the next available sale date.

10. In the event of a third party bidder wherein the successful third party bidder fails to deliver the required deposit in certified (immediately collectible) funds to this Court by close of bidding on the day of the sale, this Court will re-sell the subject property at the most convenient time thereafter (including the day of sale) upon notification to counsel for Plaintiff.

11. That this Court shall apply the proceeds of the sale as follows:

FIRST: To the payment of the amount of the permitted costs, charges, and expenses of this action, including any Guardian ad Litem or SCRA Attorney Fee or fees of any attorneys appointed by motion of Plaintiff's Counsel and under Order of the Court;

NEXT: To the payment to Plaintiff or Plaintiff's attorney, of the amount of Plaintiff's debt and interest or so much thereof as the purchase money will pay on the same; and Plaintiff's attorney shall receive and disburse such funds only in total and absolute compliance with the debt, interest, escrow, and related calculations of this Court including the Court's award for attorney fees, court permitted charges and taxable costs pursuant to Rules 54 and 71, South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure;

NEXT: Any surplus will be held pending further order of the Court as provided for in the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure and particularly Rule 71(c) of the South Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure.

12. It is further ORDERED, that if the successful bidder is other than the person(s) or entity in possession herein, the Sheriff of this County is ordered and directed to evict and remove from the premises the occupants of the property sold, together with all personal property located thereon, and put the successful bidder or his assigns in full, quiet and peaceable possession of said premises without delay, and to keep said successful bidder or his assigns in such peaceable possession.

13. And it is further ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that none of the named Defendant(s) has/have established any claims or defenses, legal or equitable, for relief against Plaintiff, and therefore, no relief, be it legal or equitable, shall be granted to any of the named Defendant(s). In addition, all persons and/or entities who may be or may have been entitled to claim through or under the title or interest of the named Defendant(s) in the subject property shall likewise be absolutely barred and forever foreclosed of any rights, titles, or interests.

14. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the deed of conveyance made pursuant to said sale shall contain the names of only the first-named Plaintiff and the first-named Defendant, and the Defendant who was the titleholder of the mortgaged property at the time of the filing of the notice of pendency of the within action, and the name of the grantee, and the applicable recorder of deeds is authorized to omit from the indices pertaining to such conveyance the names of all parties not contained in said deed.

15. This Court will retain exclusive jurisdiction to do all necessary acts incident to this foreclosure, including, but not limited to, all matters post-sale which may affect the transfer of the title to the subject real property and all improvements thereon, as well, the issuance of a Writ of Assistance.

16. Upon issuance of this Court's Report on Sale and Disbursements, the Clerk of Court/Register of Deeds is directed to release of record the mortgage lien being foreclosed, which mortgage lien is described as follows:

Mortgage from Rafael De La Paz and Ana Cardona to Washtenaw Mortgage Company, dated May 12, 1999, covering real property in Greenville County, filed on May 12, 1999, and is of record in the Office of the Clerk of Court/Register of Deeds for Greenville County in 3248, at Page 703.

17. This sale is specifically subject to all title matters of record, including but not limited to any other senior lien or encumbrance, and any interested party should consider performing an independent title examination of the subject property as no warranty is given at all by the Court, Plaintiff or its Counsel.

18. The following is a description of the premises herein ordered to be sold:

All that piece, parcel or lot of land, lying and being situate in the County of Greenville, State of South Carolina, being shown as Lot No. 15 on a plat entitled "Cedar Vale" recorded in the RMC Office for Greenville County, S.C. in Plat Book 000 at Page 13, and being shown on a more recent plat entitled "Survey for Rafael Delapaz and Ana Cardona," dated March 17, 1999, prepared by Landrith Surveying, Inc. and recorded in the RMC Office for Greenville County, S.C. in Plat Book 40-D at Page 42. Reference is hereby made to said plat for a more complete metes and bounds description.

This being the same property conveyed to Rafael Delapaz and Ana Cordona by deed of James T. Batson, Jr. dated May 12, 1999 and recorded May 12, 1999 in Book 1838 at Page 87 in the Office of the Clerk of Court/Register of Deeds for Greenville County.

TMS No. 0437.01-01-01600

Property address: 8 Overton Avenue, Greenville, SC 29617

19. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Plaintiff or Plaintiff's representative does not appear at the scheduled sale of the above-described property, then the sale of the property will be null, void and of no force and effect. In such event, the sale will be rescheduled for the next available sales day as ordered by this court.

20. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no Defendant raised any credible issues related to Plaintiff's standing to prosecute this action. Therefore, any issues related to Plaintiff's standing or ability to prosecute this action are waived.

21. All of the named Defendant(s) has/have not established any claims or defenses, legal or equitable, for relief against Plaintiff, and therefore, no relief, be it legal or equitable, shall be granted to any of the named Defendant(s). In addition, all persons who may be entitled to claim through or under the title or interest of the named Defendant(s) in the subject property shall likewise be absolutely barred and forever foreclosed.

JUDGE'S ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE PAGE TO FOLLOW

**STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF GREENVILLE
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS**

FORM 4

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2023-CP-23-04099

Barclays Mortgage Trust 2022-RPL1, Mortgage-Backed Securities, Series 2022-RPL1, by U.S. Bank National Association, as Indenture Trustee

Ana Cardona a/k/a Ana De La Paz; Rafael De La Paz a/k/a Rafael Delapaz a/k/a Rafael Z. De La Paz; South Carolina Department of Revenue; South Carolina Department of Employment and Workforce; and The United States of America by and through its agency the Department of Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

PLAINTIFF(S)

DEFENDANT(S)

Submitted by: Scott and Corley, P.A.	Attorney for : <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plaintiff <input type="checkbox"/> Defendant or <input type="checkbox"/> Self-Represented Litigant
---	---

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered See Page 2 for additional information.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRCP; Rule 41(a), SCRCP (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRCP (Settled); Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRCP; Bankruptcy; Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; Other
- STAYED FOR BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded; Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case.
Additional Information for the Clerk :

The property which is the subject of this action shall be sold at public sale pursuant to the Master in Equity's Report and Judgment of Foreclosure and Sale.

INFORMATION FOR THE JUDGMENT INDEX		
Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below.		
Judgment in Favor of (List name(s) below)	Judgment Against (List name(s) below)	Judgment Amount To be Enrolled (List amount(s) below)
N/A		
If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order: TMS No. 0437.01-01-01600 Property address: 8 Overton Avenue, Greenville, SC 29617		

The judgment information above has been provided by the submitting party. Disputes concerning the amounts contained in this form may be addressed by way of motion pursuant to the SC Rules of Civil Procedure. Amounts to be computed such as interest or additional taxable costs not available at the time the form and final order are submitted to the judge may be provided to the clerk.

Note: Title abstractors and researchers should refer to the official court order for judgment details.

E-Filing Note: In E-Filing counties, the Court will electronically sign this form using a separate electronic signature page.

Charles B. Simmons, Jr., Master in Equity

Judge Code

Date

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was entered on the _____ day of _____, 20____ and a copy mailed first class or placed in the appropriate attorney’s box on this _____ day of _____, 20____ to attorneys of record or to parties (when appearing pro se) as follows:

Scott and Corley, P.A.
P.O. Box 2065
Columbia, SC 29204

Rafael De La Paz a/k/a Rafael Delapaz a/k/a Rafael Z. De La Paz
8 Overton Avenue
Greenville, SC 29617

Ana Cardona a/k/a Ana De La Paz
8 Overton Avenue
Greenville, SC 29617

Kiera C. Dillon, Esq.
300A Outlet Pointe Boulevard
Columbia, SC 29210
Attorney for South Carolina Department of Revenue

Robert M. Sneed, Esq.
55 Beattie Place, Suite 700
Greenville, SC 29601
Attorney for The United States of America by and through its agency the Department of Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Valerie McMellan, Esq.
PO Box 8597
Columbia, SC 29202
Attorney for South Carolina Department of Employment and Workforce

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant(s)

Clerk of Court

Court Reporter: _____

E-Filing Note: In E-Filing counties, the date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRPC.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING DECISION BY THE COURT AS REFERENCED ON PAGE 1.

This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or hear and a decision rendered.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Greenville Common Pleas

Case Caption: Barclays Mortgage Trust 2022RPL1 Mortgage Backed Securities ,
plaintiff, et al vs. Ana Cardona , defendant, et al

Case Number: 2023CP2304099

Type: Master/Order/Foreclosure & Sale and Form 4

And It Is So Ordered!

s/ Judge Charles B. Simmons, Jr. (3023)